



Why Become a Peer Reviewer?

As a reviewer, you'll:

- Shape the future of leadership discourse by helping identify the most innovative and impactful presentations.
- Gain early insights into cutting-edge research and practices before they're shared with the broader community.
- Deepen your own expertise by engaging with diverse perspectives and methodologies across the leadership field.
- Connect with fellow experts and contribute to meaningful dialogue.
- Play a vital role in advancing leadership for a just and thriving future.
- Be recognized as a valued contributor to ILA's premier event on Women & Leadership.

What We're Looking For

Our conference spans multiple streams, and we need members with relevant expertise and experience to participate in our double-blind review process. Your professional

insights help ensure our program delivers high-quality content that engages and inspires our global community.

The Process

Ready to apply? Select the stream(s) where you have strong qualifications. If accepted, you'll be assigned to ONE stream based on your expertise and the needs of the program team. After you've been assigned, you will receive detailed review instructions.

Reviewers will evaluate proposals during our review period of 16 November to 4 December 2026 – Peer Review Period.

Your contribution matters! Help us continue delivering the world-class programming our Women and Leadership Member Community values!

Please note that participating as a peer reviewer is a volunteer contribution to our community. Standard, published, conference registration rates apply for all attendees regardless of reviewer status.

Peer Review Evaluation Rubric

A streamlined review process makes it easier for reviewers to quickly record their assessments. The evaluation rubric is as follows:

On a scale of 1- 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest, rate the proposal on the following:

Innovation: Rate the proposal's level of originality.

Quality: Rate the proposal's coherence and clarity of design/structure/thought.

Rigor: Rate the proposal's use of evidence from experience and/or research.

Relevance: Rate the topic's importance to the field of leadership today.

Impact: Rate the potential of the proposal to stimulate future scholarship/ practice/ conversation.

Internal Comment Box: Reviewers will also be asked to fill out an internal comment field to record their recommendation for the proposal and why they rated it the way they did. These comments will not be shared with the proposal submitter.

Ready to Volunteer?

1. Review the Streams listed in the CFP PDF and decide which one you are most qualified to review. <https://bit.ly/4d9MkOc>
2. Complete the peer reviewer volunteer application form to indicate your stream preferences and to tell us a little about yourself. <https://ila.memberclicks.net/2027-wl-peer-reviewer>
3. If accepted as a peer reviewer, you will receive detailed reviewer instructions at that time.